

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/700,587	Applicant(s) HURLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Stephen G. Sherman	Art Unit 2629	

All Participants:(1) Stephen G. Sherman.(2) Amr Awad.**Status of Application:** _____(3) Jason Vick.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2007**Time:** 3 pm**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 14, 20 and 27-28

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The examiners brought up concerns regarding the patentability of the claims. The applicant's representative then proposed possible claim amendments to alleviate these concerns.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)